arXiv:1611.03346v1 [math.CO] 10 Nov 2016

ON FIXING NUMBER OF FUNCTIGRAPHS

MUHAMMAD FAZIL, IMRAN JAVAID, MUHAMMAD MURTAZA

Abstract. The fixing number of a graph G is the order of the smallest subset S of its vertex set $V(G)$ such that stabilizer of S in G, $\Gamma_S(G)$ is trivial. Let G_1 and G_2 be disjoint copies of a graph G, and let $g : V(G_1) \to V(G_2)$ be a function. A functigraph F_G consists of the vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and the edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv : v = g(u)\}$. In this paper, we study the behavior of the fixing number in passing from G to F_G and find its sharp lower and upper bounds. We also study the fixing number of functigraphs of some well known families of graphs like complete graphs, trees and join graphs.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. The number of vertices and edges is called the *order* and the *size* of G, respectively. Two vertices u and v of G are called *connected* if there is a path between u and v in G. The *distance* between two vertices u and v in G, denoted by $d(u, v)$, is the length of a shortest $u-v$ path if u and v are connected. The *degree* of the vertex v in G, denoted by $deg_G(v)$, is the number of edges to which v belongs. The *open neighborhood* of the vertex u of G is $N(u) = \{v \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$ and the *closed neighborhood* of u is $N(u) \cup \{u\}$. Two vertices u, v are *adjacent twins* if $N[u] = N[v]$ and *non adjacent twins* if $N(u) = N(v)$. If u, v are adjacent or non adjacent twins, then u, v are *twins*. A set of vertices is called *twin-set* if every of its two vertices are twins.

An *automorphism* α of G, $\alpha : V(G) \to V(G)$, is a bijective mapping such that $\alpha(u)\alpha(v) \in E(G)$ if and only if $uv \in E(G)$. Thus, each automorphism α of G is a *permutation* of the vertex set $V(G)$ which preserves adjacencies and non-adjacencies. The *automorphism group* of a graph G, denoted by $\Gamma(G)$, is the set of all automorphisms of a graph G. The *stabilizer* of v, denoted by $\Gamma_v(G)$, is the set $\{\alpha \in \Gamma(G) : v = \alpha(v)\}$. The stabilizer of a set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ is $\Gamma_S(G) = \{\alpha \in \Gamma(G) : v = \alpha(v) \ \forall \ v \in S\}$. Note that $\Gamma_S(G) = \bigcap_{v \in S} \Gamma_v(G)$. The *orbit* of a vertex v, denoted by $\theta(v)$, is the set $\{u \in V(G) : u = \alpha(v) \text{ for some } \alpha \in \Gamma(G)\}$. Two vertices u and v are *similar*, denoted by $u \sim v$ if they belong to the same orbit.

A vertex v is *fixed* by a group element $\alpha \in \Gamma(G)$ if $\alpha \in \Gamma_v(G)$. A set of vertices $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *fixing set* of G if $\Gamma_S(G)$ is trivial. In this case, we say that S fixes G.

Key words and phrases. fixing number, functigraph.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C25

* Corresponding author: mfazil@bzu.edu.pk.

The *fixing number* of a graph G, denoted by $fix(G)$, is the cardinality of a smallest fixing set of G [8]. The graphs with $fix(G) = 0$ are called the *rigid graphs* [1], which have trivial automorphism group. Every graph G has a fixing set. Trivially, the set of vertices of G itself is a fixing set. It is also clear that any set containing all but one vertex is a fixing set. Thus, for a graph G on n vertices $0 \leq fix(G) \leq n-1$.

The fixing number of graph G was first defined by Erwin and Harary in 2006 [8]. Boutin independently, did her research on the fixing number and name this parameter, the *determining number*. A considerable literature has been developed in this field (see [3, 10, 11]).

Let G_1 and G_2 be disjoint copies of a connected graph G, and let $g : V(G_1) \to V(G_2)$ be a function. A *functigraph* F_G of graph G consists of the vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and the edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv : v = g(u)\}$.

The idea of permutation graph was introduced by Chartrand and Harary [4] for the first time. Dorfler [6], introduced a mapping graph which consists of two disjoint identical copies of graph where the edges between the two vertex sets are specified by a function. The mapping graph was rediscovered and studied by Chen et al. [5], where it was called the functigraph. Unless otherwise specified, all the graphs G considered in this paper are simple, non-trivial and connected. Throughout the paper, we will denote the functigraph of G by F_G, $V(G_1) = A$, $V(G_2) = B$, $g(V(G_1)) = I$, $|g(V(G_1))| = |I| = s$ and the minimum fixing set of F_G by S^*.

This paper organized as follows. Section 2 provides the study of fixing number of functigraphs. We give sharp lower and upper bounds for fixing number of functigraph. This section also establishes the connections between the fixing number of graphs and their corresponding functigraphs in the form of realizable results. Section 3 provides the fixing number of functigraphs of some well known families of graphs likes complete graphs, trees and join graphs. Some useful results related to these families are also part of this section.

2. Some basic results and bounds

We recall some elementary results about the fixing number which are useful for onward discussion.

Proposition 2.1. [13] *Suppose that u, v are twins in a connected graph G and S is a fixing set of G, then either u or v is in S. Moreover, if $u \in S$ and $v \notin S$, then $(S - \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$ is a fixing set of G.*

Proposition 2.2. [13] *Let U be a twin-set of order $m \geq 2$ in a connected graph G, then every fixing set S of G contains at least $m-1$ vertices of U.*

An ordered set $W = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_k\} \subseteq V(G)$ is called a *resolving set* for G if for every two distinct vertices u and v of G, $(d(u, w_1), \ldots, d(u, w_k)) \neq (d(v, w_1), \ldots, d(v, w_k))$. The *metric dimension*, denoted by $\beta(G)$, is the cardinality of a minimum resolving set of G [12].

Proposition 2.3. [2, 8] *If $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a resolving set of G, then S is a fixing set of G. In particular, $fix(G) \leq \beta(G)$.*

Theorem 2.4. [7] *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$, and let $g : A \to B$ be a function, then $2 \leq \beta(F_G) \leq 2n - 3$. Both bounds are sharp.*

Next, the sharp lower and upper bounds on the fixing number of functigraphs are given in the following result:

Proposition 2.5. *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 3$, and let $g : A \to B$ be a function, then $0 \leq fix(F_G) \leq 2n - 3$. Both bounds are sharp.*

Proof. Obviously, $0 \leq fix(F_G)$ by definition. The upper bound follows from Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 2.4. Hence $0 \leq fix(F_G) \leq 2n - 3$. For the sharpness of the lower bound, take $G = P_3$ and $g : A \to B$, be a function such that $v_1 = g(u_i), i = 1, 2$ and $v_3 = g(u_3)$. For the sharpness of the upper bound, take $G = K_n$, the complete graph of order $n \geq 3$, and let $g : A \to B$ be defined by $v_1 = g(u_i)$ for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $fix(F_G) = 2n - 3$ and the proof is complete. □

A connected graph G is called *symmetric* if $fix(G) \neq 0$. By using the Proposition 2.5, we have the following result:

Proposition 2.6. *Let G be a symmetric connected graph, then $1 \leq fix(G) + fix(F_G) \leq 3n - 4$. Both bounds are sharp.*



FIGURE 1. The graph with $fix(G) = t = fix(F_G)$.

Lemma 2.7. *For any integer $t \geq 2$, there exists a connected graph G and a function g such that $fix(G) = t = fix(F_G)$.*

Proof. Construct the graph G as follows: Let $P_t : v_1v_2...v_t$ be a path. Join two pendant vertices u_i, w_i with each v_i, where $1 \leq i \leq t$. This completes construction of G. Note that one of the vertex from each pair of pendant vertices belongs to a minimum fixing set of G and hence $fix(G) = t$. Now, we label the corresponding vertices of B as v'_i, u'_i, w'_i for all i, where $1 \leq i \leq t$ and construct a functigraph F_G as follows: If t is even, then define $g : A \to B$ as $v'_i = g(v_i)$, for all i, where $1 \leq i \leq t$; $v'_i = g(u_i), w'_i = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k + 1$, where $0 \leq k \leq \frac{t}{2} - 1$; and $v'_i = g(u_i) = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k$, where $1 \leq k \leq \frac{t}{2}$ as shown in the Figure 1. Now, consider the set $S^* = \{u_i, u'_i;\ i = 2k,\ \text{where } 1 \leq k \leq \frac{t}{2}\}$. Note that $\Gamma_{S^*}(F_G)$ is trivial and hence S^* is a fixing set of F_G. Thus, $fix(F_G) \leq t$. Moreover, $N(u_i) = N(w_i)$ and $N(u'_i) = N(w'_i)$, for all $i = 2k$, where $1 \leq k \leq \frac{t}{2}$. Thus, we have twin sets $\{u_i, w_i\}$, $\{u'_i, w'_i\}$ for all $i = 2k$, where $1 \leq k \leq \frac{t}{2}$. By Proposition 2.2, at least one element from these t twin sets must belongs to every fixing set of F_G. This implies that $fix(F_G) \geq t$. Hence, $fix(F_G) = t$. If t is odd, then we define $g : A \to B$ by $v'_i = g(v_i)$, for all i, where $1 \leq i \leq t$; $v'_i = g(u_i), w'_i = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k + 1$, $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor - 1$; $v'_i = g(u_i) = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$; and $v'_{t-2} = g(u_t)$, $w'_{t-2} = g(w_t)$. Use same steps as for case when t is even and choosing $S^* = \{u_i, u'_i;\ i = 2k,\ \text{where } 1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor\}$, we note that $fix(F_G) = t$. Hence $fix(G) = t = fix(F_G)$. □

Let us now discuss a functigraph of graph G as described in proof of Lemma 2.7 and function $g : A \to B$ defined as: If t is even, then $v'_i = g(v_i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$; $v'_{t-1} = g(u_{t-1}) = g(w_{t-1})$; $v'_{t-3} = g(u_t)$, $w'_{t-3} = g(w_t)$; $v'_i = g(u_i), w'_i = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k + 1$, $0 \leq k \leq \frac{t}{2} - 2$; $v'_i = g(u_i) = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k$, $1 \leq k \leq \frac{t}{2} - 1$. Now, if t is odd and $g : A \to B$ is defined by $v'_i = g(v_i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$; $v'_t = g(u_t) = g(w_t)$; $v'_i = g(u_i), w'_i = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k + 1$, $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor - 1$; $v'_i = g(u_i) = g(w_i)$, for all $i = 2k$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$. From this construction and using same arguments as in proof of Lemma 2.7, we conclude that $fix(G) = t$ and $fix(F_G) = t + 1$. Hence, we have the following result:

Lemma 2.8. *For any two integers $t_1 \geq 2$ and $t_2 = t_1 + 1$, there exists a connected graph G and a function g such that $fix(G) = t_1, fix(F_G) = t_2$.*

Remark 2.9. *Let $t_1, t_2 \geq 2$ be any two integers, then by definition of functigraph, it is not necessary that there always exists a connected graph G such that $fix(G) = t_1, fix(F_G) = t_2$.*

Consider an integer $t \geq 2$. For $t = 2$, we take $G = P_3$ and its functigraph F_G, where function $g : A \to B$ is defined as: $v'_i = g(v_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$. For $t > 2$, we take graph G same as in proof of Lemma 2.7 by taking path of order $t - 1$ and its functigraph F_G, where $g : A \to B$ is defined as: $v'_i = g(v_i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$; $v'_{t-2} = g(u_{t-1}), w'_{t-2} = g(w_{t-1})$; $v'_i = g(u_i), w'_i = g(w_i), 1 \leq i \leq t - 2$. From this

construction, we distinguish the following result which shows that $fix(G)+fix(F_G)$ can be arbitrary large:

Lemma 2.10. *For any integer $t \geq 2$, there exists a connected graph G and a function g such that $fix(G) + fix(F_G) = t$.*

Consider $t \geq 2$. We take graph G by taking path of order $t+1$ and its functigraph F_G as constructed in Lemma 2.10, we distinguish the following result which shows that $fix(G) - fix(F_G)$ can be arbitrary large:

Lemma 2.11. *For any integer $t \geq 2$, there exists a connected graph G and a function g such that $fix(G) - fix(F_G) = t$.*

For $t \geq 2$, we take graph G same as in proof of Lemma 2.7 by taking path of order t and its functigraph F_G, where $g : A \to B$ is defined as: $v_i' = g(v_i) = g(u_i) = g(w_i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq t$. From this type of construction, we distinguish the following result which shows that $fix(F_G) - fix(G)$ can be arbitrary large:

Lemma 2.12. *For any integer $t \geq 2$, there exists a connected graph G and a function g such that $fix(F_G) - fix(G) = t$.*

3. The fixing number of functigraphs of some families of graphs

In this section, we give bounds of the fixing number of functigraphs on complete graphs, trees and join graphs. We also characterize complete graphs for every value of s, where $2 \leq s \leq n-2$ such that $fix(G) = fix(F_G)$.

Following result gives the sharp upper and lower bound for fixing number of functigraphs of complete graphs.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $G = K_n$ be the complete graph of order $n \geq 3$, and let $1 < s < n$, then*

$$2(n-s) - 1 \leq fix(F_G) \leq 2n - s - 3.$$

Proof. We assume $I = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_s\}$ and $n_i = |\{u \in A : v_i = g(u)\}|$, for all i, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Also, let $j = |\{n_i : n_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq s\}|$. There are three possible cases for j in functigraph F_G:

(1) If $j = 0$, then $2 \leq n_i \leq n-2$, for all i, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Thus, by definitions of K_n and n_i, there are s twin set of vertices in A and a twin-set has n_i number of vertices for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq s$. Hence, S^* contains $\sum_{i=1}^{s}(n_i-1)$ vertices from A. Moreover, B contains $|B \setminus I|$ twin vertices and hence S^* contains $n-s-1$ vertices from B. Hence, $|S^*| = \sum_{i=1}^{s}(n_i-1)+(n-s-1) = 2(n-s)-1$.

(2) If $j = 1$, then without loss of generality, we assume that $n_s = 1$. Thus, there are $s-1$ twin-sets of vertices in A and a twin-set has n_i number of vertices for each i, where $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. Thus, S^* contains $\sum_{i=1}^{s-1}(n_i - 1)$ vertices from A and $n-s-1$ vertices from B as in the previous case. Hence, $|S^*| = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1}(n_i - 1) + (n-s-1) = 2(n-s) - 1$.

(3) If $2 \leq j \leq s-1$. Let $N = \{n_1, n_2, ..., n_s\}$. We partition the set N into N_1 and N_2 where N_1 contains all those elements of N in which $n_i > 1$ and N_2 contains all those elements of N in which $n_i = 1$. Let $|N_1| = l$, then $j+l = s$ where $j = |N_2|$. We re-index elements of N_1 and N_2 as follows: $N_1 = \{n_1^{(1)}, n_2^{(1)}, ..., n_l^{(1)}\}$, $N_2 = \{n_1^{(2)}, n_2^{(2)}, ..., n_j^{(2)}\}$ where superscripts shows associations of an element in N_1 or N_2. Now, A contains l twin-sets of vertices and each twin-set has $n_k^{(1)}$ number of vertices for each k, where $1 \leq k \leq l$. Also, remaining j vertices of A are those having exactly j images under g and hence $j-1$ such vertices must belong to S^*. For otherwise, let $u, u' \in A$ be two such vertices, then there exists an automorphism $(uu')(g(u)g(u'))$ in $\Gamma(F_G)$. Hence, S^* contains $[\sum_{k=1}^{l}(n_k^{(1)}-1)]+(j-1)$ vertices from A. Again S^* contains $n-s-1$ vertices from B. Hence, $|S^*| = [\sum_{k=1}^{l}(n_k^{(1)}-1)]+(j-1)+(n-s-1) = 2n-2s+j-2$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $G = K_{n\geq 3}$ be the complete graph and $2 < s < n$ in functigraph of G. If $n-s$ vertices of A have same image under g, then $fix(F_G) = 2n-(s+4)$.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let $G = K_{n\geq 3}$ be the complete graph and $2 < s < n$ in functigraph of G. If $n-s+1$ vertices of A have the same image under g, then $fix(F_G) = 2n-(s+3)$.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let $G = K_{n\geq 3}$ be the complete graph and $2 < s < n$ in functigraph of G. If $|g^{-1}(v)| = \frac{n}{s}$ for all $v \in I$, then $fix(F_G) = 2(n-s)-1$.*

Proof. Since, there are exactly s twin sets of vertices of A each of cardinality $\frac{n}{s}$ and one twin set of B of cardinality $n-s$. Hence, $fix(F_G) = s(\frac{n}{s}-1)+n-s-1 = 2(n-s)-1$. □

Proposition 3.5. *For every pair of integers n and s, where $2 \leq s \leq n-2$, there are exactly $s-1$ complete graphs G such that $fix(G) = fix(F_G)$ for some function g.*

Proof. We claim that for every s where $2 \leq s \leq n-2$, the required $s-1$ complete graphs are $\{K_{s+i+2} : 0 \leq i \leq s-2\}$ by Theorem 3.1. For otherwise if $G \in \{K_{s+i+2} : s-1 \leq i \leq n-(s+2)\}$, then $fix(G = K_{s+i+2}) = s+i+1$ and by Theorem 3.1, $2i+3 \leq fix(F_{G=K_{s+i}}) \leq s+2i+1$. Since, $i > s-2$ so, $fix(G) \neq fix(F_G)$.

Next, we define those functions $g_i : A \to B$ in functigraph of G, where $G \in \{K_{s+i+2}, 0 \leq i \leq s-2\}$ such that $fix(G) = fix(F_G)$. We discuss the following cases

(1) For $s = 2$, we have $G = K_4$ and there are two definitions of function g satisfying hypothesis, one is defined as $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_2) = \{u_4\}$. Other definition of g is $g^{-1}(v_j) = \{u_{2j-1}, u_{2j}\}$ for all j where $1 \leq j \leq 2$.

(2) For $s = 3$, we have $G = K_5, K_6$. In K_5, g is defined as $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_2) = \{u_4, u_5\}$. In K_6, again there are two definitions of g satisfying hypothesis. One definition is $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$,

$g^{-1}(v_2) = \{u_4, u_5\}$, $g(u_6) = v_3$. Other definition of g is $g^{-1}(v_j) = \{u_{2j-1}, u_{2j}\}$ for all j where $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

(3) For $s = 4$, we have $G = K_6, K_7, K_8$. In K_6, g is defined as $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_j) = \{u_j\}$ for all j where $4 \leq j \leq 6$. In K_7, $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_2) = \{u_j : 4 \leq j \leq 5\}$, $g(u_j) = v_{j-3}$ for all j where, $6 \leq j \leq 7$. In K_8, again there are two definitions of g. One definition is $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_2) = \{u_4, u_5\}$, $g^{-1}(v_3) = \{u_6, u_7\}$ $g(u_8) = v_4$. Other definition of g is $g^{-1}(v_j) = \{u_{2j-1}, u_{2j}\}$ for all j where $1 \leq j \leq 4$.
By continuing in this way.

(4) For $s = n-2$, we have $G = K_n, K_{n+1}, ..., K_{2n-5}, K_{2n-4}$. In K_n, g is defined as $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_j) = \{u_j\}$ for all j where $4 \leq j \leq n$. In K_{n+1}, $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_2) = \{u_j : 4 \leq j \leq 5\}$, $g(u_j) = v_{j-3}$ for all j where, $6 \leq j \leq n+1$. In K_{n+2}, $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_2) = \{u_j : 4 \leq j \leq 5\}$, $g^{-1}(v_3) = \{u_j : 6 \leq j \leq 7\}$, $g(u_j) = v_{j-3}$ for all j where, $8 \leq j \leq n+2$. Continuing in similar way till $G = K_{2n-5}$, we can find g for by similar definitions. In K_{2n-4}, again there are two definitions of g. One definition is $g^{-1}(v_1) = \{u_j : 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$, $g^{-1}(v_j) = \{u_{2j}, u_{2j+1}\}$, where, $2 \leq j \leq n-3$, $g(u_{2n-4}) = v_{n-2}$. Other definition of g is $g^{-1}(v_j) = \{u_{2j-1}, u_{2j}\}$ for all j where $1 \leq j \leq n-2$.

□

Remark 3.6. *For each $2 \leq s \leq n-2$, there are exactly s mapping $g : V(K_n) \to V(K_n)$ such that $fix(G) = fix(F_G)$.*

Remark 3.7. *K_4 is the only complete graph such that $fix(G) = fix(F_G)$, for all functions g.*

Let e^* be an edge of a connected graph G. Let $G - e^*$ is the graph obtained by deleting edge e^* from graph G. A vertex v of a graph G is called *saturated* if it is adjacent to all other vertices of G.

Theorem 3.8. *Let G be a complete graph of order $n \geq 3$ and $G_i = G - ie^*$ for all i where $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and e^* joins two saturated vertices of the graph G. If g is constant function, then*

$$fix(F_{G_i}) = \begin{cases} 2n-2i-3, & if \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1, \\ n-1, & if \quad i = \frac{n}{2} \quad and\ n\ is\ even, \\ 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1, 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor & if \quad i = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \quad and\ n\ is\ odd. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We consider the following three cases for i:

(1) For $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Since, any two saturated vertices are twin however the converse is not true. Thus, on deleting edge e^* between two saturated vertices, the two vertices will no longer remain saturated, however these will

remain twin. Hence, for each i where $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$, G_i contains $n-2i$ saturated vertices and i twin set of vertices each of cardinality two. Hence $fix(G_i) = n-i-1$. Now, if g is constant, then $|S^*| = (n-i-1)+(n-i-2) = 2n-2i-3$.

(2) If $i = \frac{n}{2}$ and n is even. Then G_i contains no saturated vertex and $\frac{n}{2}$ twin set of vertices each of cardinality two. Hence $fix(G_i) = \frac{n}{2}$. Now, if g is constant, then $|S^*| = \frac{n}{2} + (\frac{n}{2} - 1) = n - 1$.

(3) If $i = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and n is odd. Then G_i contains one saturated vertex and $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ twin set of vertices each of cardinality two. Hence $fix(G_i) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Suppose that, $u' = g(u_i)$ for all $u_i \in V(G_i)$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now, if u' is a twin vertex then $|S^*| = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1) = 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$. However, if u' ia saturated vertex, then $|S^*| = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

□

From Theorem 3.8, we can establishes the sharp bounds for the fixing number of a functigraph of $G_i = G - ie^*$ in the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *Let G be a complete graph of order $n \geq 3$ and $G_i = G - ie^*$ for all i where $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and e^* joins two saturated vertices of the graph G. If g is a constant function, then $fix(G) \leq fix(F_{G_i}) \leq fix(F_G)$. Both bounds are sharp.*

Let T be a tree graph and $v \in V(T)$. If $deg_T(v) = 1$, then v is called a *pendant vertex*. A vertex $v \in T$ that adjacent to a pendant vertex is called a *support vertex*. We denote the total number of pendant vertices in a tree T by $p(T)$. We denote the total number of support vertices in a tree T by $s(T)$.

Proposition 3.10. *If T is a symmetric tree of order $n \geq 2$, then $fix(F_T) \leq 2fix(T)$. This bound is sharp.*

Corollary 3.11. *If P_n is a path of order $n \geq 2$, then $fix(F_{P_n}) \leq 2$. This bound is sharp.*

Theorem 3.12. *Let T be a symmetric tree and F_T be its symmetric functigraph, then $fix(F_T) = 2|T| - t$, $2 \leq t \leq 3$ if and only if $T = P_2$.*

Proof. If $T = P_2$, then F_T is either C_4 or K_3 with a pendant vertex. Hence, $fix(F_T) = 2|T| - t$, $2 \leq t \leq 3$. Conversely, suppose that, $fix(F_T) = 2|T| - t$, $2 \leq t \leq 3$. We discuss the following cases for $s(T)$.

(1) If $s(T) \geq 3$. We partition $V(T)$ into the sets X_1, X_2 and X_3 where $X_1 = \{u \in V(T) : u \text{ is a pendant vertex of } T\}$, $X_2 = \{u \in V(T) : u \text{ is a support vertex of } T\}$ and $X_3 = V(T) \setminus \{X_1 \cup X_2\}$. Let $X_4 = X_2 \cup X_3$, then $fix(T) \leq |T| - |X_4| - 1$ and from Proposition 3.10, $fix(F_T) \leq 2[|T| - |X_4| - 1]$ which leads to a contradiction as $|X_4| \geq 3$.

(2) If $s(T) = 2$ then, we have the following two subcases:

(a) If $s(T) = p(T)$, then $T = P_{n\geq 2}(n \neq 3)$ and hence $fix(F_{P_n}) \leq 2$. Thus, by hypothesis, $T = P_2$.
(b) If $s(T) \neq p(T)$, then either $p(T) = 1$ or $p(T) > 2$. However, $p(T) = 1$ and $s(T) = 2$ is impossible in a tree, so $p(T) > 2$. This also leads to a contradiction as in Case (1).

(3) If $s(T) = 1$, then $T = K_{1,n}, n \geq 2$ and hence $fix(F_T) \leq 2[|T| - 2]$ which is again a contradiction.

□

The following corollary can be proved by using similar arguments as in proof of Theorem 3.12.

Corollary 3.13. *Let T be a symmetric tree and F_T be its symmetric functigraph.*
(1) If $fix(F_T) = 2|T| - t$, $4 \leq t \leq 5$, then $T = K_{1,n}, n \geq 2$.
(2) If $fix(F_T) = 2|T| - 6$, then $T = K_{1,n}, n \geq 3$.
(3) If $fix(F_T) = 2|T| - 7$, then $T \in \{P_4, K_{1,n}, n \geq 3\}$.
(4) If $fix(F_T) = 2|T| - 8$, then $T \in \{P_5,\ K_{1,n},\ n \geq 4, (K_{1,n_1}, K_{1,n_2}) + e, n_1, n_2 \geq 2, K_{1,n}$ and a vertex adjacent with one pendant vertex of $K_{1,n}\}$.

Suppose that $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two graphs with disjoint vertex sets V_1 and V_2 and disjoint edge sets E_1 and E_2. The *union* of G_1 and G_2 is the graph $G_1 \cup G_2 = (V_1 \cup V_2, E_1 \cup E_2)$. The *join* of G_1 and G_2 is the graph $G_1 + G_2$ that consists of $G_1 \cup G_2$ and all edges joining all vertices of V_1 with all vertices of V_2.

Theorem 3.14. [9] *Let G_1 and G_2 be two connected graphs, then $fix(G_1 + G_2) \geq fix(G_1) + fix(G_2)$. This bound is sharp.*

Proposition 3.15. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two connected graphs and $g : V(G_1 + G_2) \to V(G_1 + G_2)$ be a constant function, then*

$$fix(F_{G_1+G_2}) = 2fix(G_1 + G_2) - i,\ \ 0 \leq i \leq 1.$$

Proof. Let A and B be two copies of $G_1 + G_2$. Let S_1 and S_2 be minimum fixing sets of A and B, respectively. Define $g : A \to B$ by $u' = g(u)$, for all $u \in A$. We discuss the following two cases.

(1) If G_1 does not contain any saturated vertex, then $G_1 + G_2$ also does not contain any saturated vertex. In this case $fix(A) = fix(B) = fix(G_1) + fix(G_2)$ by Theorem 3.14. Now, if $u' \in S_2$, then $S^* = S_1 \cup \{S_2 \setminus \{u'\}\}$ because $\theta(u') = \{u'\}$ in $F_{G_1+G_2}$. If $u' \notin S_2$, then $S^* = S_1 \cup S_2$.

(2) If G_1 contains any saturated vertex, then both A and B have saturated vertices. In this case $fix(A) = fix(B) > fix(G_1) + fix(G_2)$ by Theorem 3.14. Now, if u' is a saturated vertex of B, then $u' \in S_2$ and hence $S^* = S_1 \cup \{S_2 \setminus \{u'\}\}$. If u' is not a saturated vertex, then either $u' \in S_2$ or $u' \notin S_2$. If $u' \in S_2$, then $S^* = S_1 \cup \{S_2 \setminus \{u'\}\}$ and if $u' \notin S_2$, then $S^* = S_1 \cup S_2$.

□

References

[1] M. O. Albertson and K. L. Collins, Symmetry breaking in graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.,* **3**(1)(1996).

[2] D. Boutin, Identifying graph automorphisms using determining sets, *Electron. J. Combin.,* **13**(1)(2006).

[3] J. Caceres, D. Garijo, M. L. Puertas and C. Seara, On the determining number and the metric dimention of graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.,* **17**(2010).

[4] G. Chartrand and F. Harary, Planar permutation graphs, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincare,* **3**(1967), 433-438.

[5] A. Chen, D. Ferrero, R. Gera and E. Yi, Functigraphs: An Extension of Permutation Graphs, *Math. Bohem.,* **136**(1)(2011), 27-37.

[6] W. Dorfler, On mapping graphs and permutation graphs, *Math. Solvaca*, **28**(3)(1978), 277-288.

[7] L. Eroh, C. X. Kang and E. Yi, On Metric Dimension of Funtigraph.

[8] D. Erwin and F. Harary, Destroying automorphisms by fixing nodes, *Disc. Math.*, **306**(2006), 3244-3252.

[9] M. Fazil and I. Javaid, On the Fixatic number of Graphs, submitted.

[10] C. R. Gibbon and J. D. Laison, Fixing number of graphs and groups, *Electron. J. Combin.,* **16**(2009).

[11] F. Harary, Methods of destroying the symmetries of a graph, *Bull. Malasyan Math. Sc. Soc.,* **24**(2)(2001), 183-191.

[12] F. Harary and R. A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, *Ars Combin.,* **2**(1976), 191-195.

[13] I. Javaid, M. Fazil, U. Ali and M. Salman, On some parameters related to fixing sets in graphs, submitted.

Centre for advanced studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan

Email: mfazil@bzu.edu.pk, imran.javaid@bzu.edu.pk, mahru830@gmail.com